



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Boz 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/846,065	04/30/2001	Samson X. Huang	INTL-0563-US (P11334)	4510	
7:	590 08/12/2003				
Timothy N. Trop TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C. STE 100			EXAMINER		
			DHARIA, PRABODH M		
8554 KATY FV HOUSTON, TX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2673	•7	
			DATE MAILED: 08/12/2003	7	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

3

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	
•	•	09/846,0	65	HUANG, SAMSO	ON X.
Office Action Summary		Examine	<u> </u>	Art Unit	1
		Prabodh	M Dharia	2673	
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on th	e cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress
A SHC THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION O	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no evon. a reply within the stateriod will apply and w statute, cause the app	ent, however, may utory minimum of ill expire SIX (6) M dication to become	r a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered tim IONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	30 April 2001			•
2a) <u> </u>		This action is	non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice uren of Claims	llowance excep	t for formal r		the merits is
4) 🛛 (	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation.			
4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	hdrawn from co	nsideration.		
5) 🗌 (	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ (	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.				
7) 🗌 (	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) 🗌 (	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election r	equirement.		
Application	n Papers				
9)□ ⊤	he specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.			
10)∏ T	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ a	accepted or b)	objected to b	y the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s)	be held in ab	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)	) <b>.</b>
11)∏ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on $\_$	is: a)∏ a	pproved b)	disapproved by the Exami	ner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required	in reply to this O	fice action.		
12)∏ T	ne oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.			
Priority ur	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) 🗌 🛚 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority ur	der 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) <u></u>	All b) Some * c) None of:				
1	. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have bee	n received.		
2	. Certified copies of the priority docun	nents have bee	n received ir	Application No	
	Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International te the attached detailed Office action for a	al Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)	).	l Stage
14)∐ Ac	knowledgment is made of a claim for dom	nestic priority u	nder 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	al application).
	☐ The translation of the foreign language through the translation of the foreign language through the translation is made of a claim for done				
Attachment(s	;)				
2) Notice 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No			w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (P	
S. Patent and Trad TO-326 (Rev.	- · · · - <del>-</del>	e Action Summar	v	Part of Paper No. 3	<del></del>

Art Unit: 2673

## Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract is not in narrative form and not a single paragraph on a, repeating the information given in the title. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-15, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Johnson et al. (5,073,010).

Regarding Claim 1, Johnson et al. teaches a method comprising: negatively biasing a spatial light modulator; and reversing the bias (Col. 5, Lines 52-55, Col. 6, Line60 to Col. 7, Line 11).

Art Unit: 2673

Regarding Claim 2, Johnson et al. teaches biasing a top plate and a pixel electrode (Col. 10, Lines 11-26).

Regarding Claim 3, Johnson et al. teaches biasing said top plate to a negative voltage (Col. 10, Lines 19-26).

Regarding Claim 4, Johnson et al. teaches maintaining said pixel electrode at a positive voltage (Col. 10, Lines 38-50).

Regarding Claim 5, Johnson et al. teaches biasing said pixel electrode across its full dynamic range (Col. 10, Lines 38-50).

Regarding Claim 6, Johnson et al. teaches alternately biasing the top plate negatively and positively (Col. 10, Lines 19-26, Lines 38-50).

Regarding Claim 7, Johnson et al. teaches a spatial light modulator (Col. 5, lines 52-55) comprising: a top plate (Col. 10, Lines 21-24); a liquid crystal layer (Col. 6, lines 54-59); a pixel electrode (Col. 9, Lines 42-58), said top plate and said pixel electrode sandwiching said liquid crystal layer (Col. 6, lines 39-59, Col. 9, lines 42-65); and a drive circuit to apply positive and negative bias potentials to one of said electrode and said top plate (Col. 9, lines 42-65, Col. 10, Lines 19-26, Lines 38-50).

Art Unit: 2673

Ų

Regarding Claim 8, Johnson et al. teaches a drive circuit to apply a negative bias potential to said top plate (Col. 9, lines 42-65, Col. 10, Lines 19-26, Lines 38-50).

Regarding Claim 9, Johnson et al. teaches wherein said spatial light modulator is a liquid crystal over silicon spatial light modulator (Col. 5, lines 52-55, Col. 6, Lines 39-59, Col. 9, lines 42-65).

Regarding Claim 10, Johnson et al. teaches wherein said drive circuit applies positive and negative bias potentials in alternating frames (Col. 6, Line 60 to Col. 7, Line 11, Col. 9, Line 66 to Col. 10, Line 10).

Regarding Claim 11, Johnson et al. teaches wherein said top plate is formed of indium in oxide (Col. 6, Lines 54-59, Col. 9, Lines 42-65).

Regarding Claim 12, Johnson et al. teaches a method comprising: applying a positive bias to a spatial light modulator in a negative frame; and applying a negative bias to a spatial light modulator during a positive frame to reduce the magnitude of the positive voltage that is necessary to bias the spatial light modulator (Col. 9, Line 66 to Col. 10, Line 26).

Regarding Claim 13, Johnson et al. teaches biasing a top plate and a pixel electrode (Col. 10, Lines 11-26).

Art Unit: 2673

Regarding Claim 14, Johnson et al. teaches biasing said top plate to a negative voltage (Col. 10, Lines 19-26).

Regarding Claim 15, Johnson et al. teaches maintaining said pixel electrode at a positive voltage (Col. 10, Lines 38-50).

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is informed that all of the other additional cited references render the claims obvious.

## Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

McKnight (6,369,832 B1) Pixel buffer circuits for implementing improved methods of displaying gray-scale or color images.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Prabodh M Dharia whose telephone number is 703-605-1231. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8AM to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on 703-3054938. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 2673

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9341 for regular communications and 703-872-9341 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4750.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

PD

AU2673

July 25, 2003

Amare Mengistu